PEA SUPPLEMENT

Needs Assessment [30 possible points]

Needs Assessment [30 possible points]			
CRITERIA	LITTLE OR NO EVIDENCE 0-5 POINTS	LIMITED EVIDENCE 6-11 POINTS	CLEAR & COMPREHENSIVE EVIDENCE 12-15 POINTS
that influence the focus of this grant (e.g., specific school needs for special education teachers, licensed SLPAs, or PTs; expanding special education population; retiring special education staff; difficulty in attracting highly qualified educators). Use statistical data, survey results, research results, etc., to back up the needs	PEA provides little or no evidence of the specific special needs that have influenced the focus of the grant. There is little or no description of the specific needs that are based on an evaluation of anticipated losses of exiting professionals or increased need for special education teachers, related service providers, and/or other education staff.	PEA provides clear but limited evidence of the specific special needs that have influenced the focus of the grant. There is clear but limited description of the specific needs that are based on an evaluation of anticipated losses of exiting professionals or increased need for special education teachers, related service providers, and/or other education staff.	PEA has identified, in detail, the specific special needs that have influenced the focus of the grant. There is clear and comprehensive evidence of the specific needs that are based on an evaluation of anticipated losses of exiting professionals or increased need for special education teachers, related service providers, and/or other education staff.
	There is little or no evidence that the identified needs will be lessened by the candidate with the targeted degree and certification/license. Overall response is limited or non-existent and/or does not provide statistical data, survey results, research results, or other documentation to support the need to "grow your own."	There is limited evidence that the identified needs will be lessened by the candidate with the targeted degree and certification/license. Overall response is clear but has limited statistical data, survey results, research results, or other documentation to support the need to "grow your own."	There is clear and convincing evidence that the identified needs will be lessened by the candidate with the targeted degree and certification/license. Overall responses are clear, detailed, comprehensive, and provide statistical data, survey results, research results, or other documentation to support the need to "grow your own.
candidate selected to participate in this program and the degree he or she will be pursuing. Provide a rationale for making this choice. The discussion should include: a. Identification and credentials of those who participated in the selection process b. Other paraprofessionals that were considered for this opportunity c. Personal and professional	There is little or no description of the selection process that includes the following key points: Identification and credentials of those on the selection committee. General description of other paraprofessionals considered. List and analysis of personal and professional characteristics or criteria used in the selection process. Characteristics or points that made your choice stand out. Reasons that convince the evaluation team of the candidate's desire and ability to complete the degree/certificate program and fulfill Tuition Agreement commitments.	There is limited description of the selection process that includes the following key points: Identification and credentials of those on the selection committee. General description of other paraprofessionals considered. List and analysis of personal and professional characteristics or criteria used in the selection process. Characteristics or points that made your choice stand out. Reasons that convince the evaluation team of the candidate's desire and ability to complete the degree/certificate program and fulfill Tuition Agreement commitments.	There is a clear and comprehensive description of the selection process that includes detailed key points: Identification and credentials of those on the selection committee. General description of other paraprofessionals considered. List and analysis of personal and professional characteristics or criteria used in the selection process. Characteristics or points that made your choice stand out. Reasons that convince the evaluation team of the candidate's desire and ability to complete the degree/certificate program and fulfill Tuition Agreement commitments.

will complete the program and commitments specified in the Tuition Agreement		
The degree pursued by the paraprofessional must tie in with the staff needs described in the Needs Assessment.		
(Narrative) [15 possible points]		

PEA Support [20 possible points]

PEA Support [20 possible points]			
CRITERIA	LITTLE OR NO EVIDENCE	LIMITED EVIDENCE	CLEAR & COMPREHENSIVE EVIDENCE
	0–7 POINTS	8-18 POINTS	19-20 POINTS
This section should demonstrate the PEA's	There is little or no demonstration of a "good	There is limited demonstration of a "good	PEA has comprehensive documentation of a
good faith effort to provide appropriate support	faith" effort to provide PEA support for the	faith" effort to provide PEA support for the	"good faith" effort to provide support for the
during the first program year. Detail the service	candidate.	candidate.	candidate. Resources (in-kind contributions
and material contributions that the PEA will			and no cost/low cost item resources) are clear
offer that will make a direct impact on the	PEA support includes 0 or 1 of the required	PEA support includes a minimum of two	and comprehensive. Good faith effort includes
paraprofessional's success and the	items flagged by a" ✓".	required items flagged by a" ✓".	a clear, comprehensive description of the
circumstances that will trigger support.			circumstances that will trigger each item
	Additional PEA support is not clear and is	Additional PEA support is clearly defined but	identified in PEA Support. There is a clear
The items that are required contributions for	limited; local support lacks meaningful	limited in scope; there are some meaningful	alignment between each item of support and
the first year are flagged by a " ✓":	contributions.	contributions.	the circumstances that will trigger the support.
 Substitutes provided at district expense or 			The funding source that supports each item of
release time for a paraprofessional to take a	PEA support does not follow the PEA Support	PEA support does follows the PEA Support	PEA support is accurate and dollar amount
course or participate in a course-related	Example provided in the RFP (it does not use	Example provided in the RFP (it uses the	aligns with the description.
activity during scheduled work time.	the recommended format).	recommended format).	
 Estimated cost for administrative staff to 			There is comprehensive demonstration of a
coordinate and monitor the grant.	Existing conditions for PEA support are non-	Identification of existing conditions for PEA	"good faith" effort to provide PEA support for
 Estimated hourly cost to provide mentoring 	existent, ambiguous, or inappropriate.	support is clear but limited.	the candidate.
or tutoring services by qualified staff.			
✓ Estimated hourly cost for a			PEA support includes the three required items
paraprofessional to meet with the			flagged by a" ✓".
supervisor/mentor a minimum of once per			
semester			PEA support is clearly defined and
✓ Estimated hourly cost for administrative			comprehensive in scope; contributions are
staff to monitor and track paraprofessional			meaningful.
progress.			
✓ Estimated hourly cost for student teaching			PEA support follows the PEA Support
or direct clinical mentoring (required only			Example provided in the RFP (it uses the
if the paraprofessional is participating in			recommended format).
one of these activities during the first year.			II ('C' (' CA' C DEA
Estimated cost for facility and equipment			Identification of triggers for PEA support is

use to complete coursework and homework assignments (e.g., use of school computer equipment, printer, copier, Internet		clear and comprehensive.
 service). Additional funding for textbook costs not paid for by the grant. Other supplies needed for coursework (e.g., paper, pencils, pens). 		

CANDIDATE SUPPLEMENT

Service Information [10 possible points]

Service information [10 possible points]			
CRITERIA		NOTES TO EV	ALUATORS
Candidate identification (check "Instruction" or "Non-instruction")		Candidate's identification as "Instructional" or "Non-instructional" should agree with line item	
,		lacement of funding in the budget.	
 One who is providing special education related ser related service professional should be identified as 			
2. Candidate's job title/position		Candidate's job title/position should agree with the identification and line item placement of funding in the budget.	
3. Combined total number of school years served as a par	aprofessional in all PEAs		
4. Dates of service at current PEA (e.g., August 2011 – pr		a minimum of two school years' of service at the c	current PEA is required.
REMEMBER: You must be employed for a minimum of	two school years with the current PEA		
by June 30, 2013, to be eligible for this grant.			
5. List current job responsibilities. Include:			
 Full or part-time employment (number of hours/we 	ek)		
 Services provided to special education student 			
• The estimated amount of time in hours/minutes per day spent in each of these activities or			
with each student (this may require a breakdown of			
 List in-house or other education-related training the recollection, include: 	at you have had. To the best of your		
Dates (estimated if this is unknown)			
 Names of workshops/trainings 			
 Description of the content or focus 			
 If you have not participated in any work-related tra 	ining, type "N/A" in the text box.		
CRITERIA	LITTLE OR NO EVIDENCE 0-3 POINTS	LIMITED EVIDENCE 4-7 POINTS	CLEAR & COMPREHENSIVE EVIDENCE 8-10 POINTS
 Examples of demonstrated increased student achievement or other successes of students with disabilities resulting from services/assistance that you provided. 	There is little or no descriptive evidence of increased student achievement or other student successes.	There are clear but limited examples of increased student achievement or other student successes.	There are clear and detailed examples of increased student achievement or other student successes.

	Examples of increased student	There is limited evidence of connections	There is strong, comprehensive evidence of
(Narrative) [10 possible points]	achievement or other student successes	between examples of increased student	connections between examples of increased
	are not linked to services/assistance	achievement or other student successes and	student achievement or other student
	provided by the candidate.	services/assistance provided by the	successes and services/assistance provided by
		candidate.	the candidate.

Life Plan Essay [40 possible points]

CRITERIA CRITERIA	LITTLE OR NO EVIDENCE 0-24 POINTS	LIMITED EVIDENCE 25–34 POINTS	CLEAR & COMPREHENSIVE EVIDENCE 35–40 POINTS
reasons for being considered for tuition assistance. The essay should expound on the following points: Description of your professional goals. Previous preparations for fulfilling your professional goals from high school to the present. Life experiences that have held back or delayed fulfillment of your professional goals. Discussion of the contributions that the degree and the subsequent certification/license will have in fulfilling that plan. A self-evaluation describing why you are a good candidate for participation in this grant (evaluate your character, strengths, motivation, etc.). (Narrative) [40 possible points]	There is little or no discussion of professional goals. There is little or no description of the preparations to fulfill life dreams that span high school to the present. Life experiences described show little or no evidence substantiating that they have held back or delayed fulfillment of life dreams. There is little or no discussion of the contributions that the degree and certification/licensure will have in fulfilling stated dreams/goals. Self-evaluation gives little or no evidence that substantiates why the candidate is deserving of grant participation. The essay does not examine some talking points or some discussion points are vague or incomplete. The essay does not provide content or content is not aligned with reasons for the candidate to be considered for tuition assistance.	There is limited discussion of professional goals. There is clear but limited description of the preparations to fulfill life dreams that span high school to the present. Life experiences described show limited evidence substantiating that they have held back or delayed fulfillment of life dreams. There is limited discussion of the contributions that the degree and certification/licensure will have in fulfilling stated dreams/goals. Self-evaluation gives limited evidence that substantiates why the candidate is deserving of grant participation. The essay examines all talking points with limited evidence provided. The essay provides content and is aligned with reasons for the candidate to be considered for tuition assistance.	There is clear and comprehensive discussion of professional goals. There is clear and comprehensive description of the preparations to fulfill life dreams that span high school to the present. Life experiences described demonstrate clear and comprehensive evidence that substantiate they have held back or delayed fulfillment of life dreams. There is detailed discussion of the contributions that the degree and certification/licensure will have in fulfilling stated dreams/goals. Self-evaluation gives comprehensive evidence that substantiates why the candidate is deserving of grant participation. The essay examines all talking points with comprehensive evidence provided. The essay provides extensive content that is aligned with reasons for the candidate to be considered for tuition assistance.

Community College Information Associate's Degree–Education

Associate's Degree-Education	
CRITERIA	NOTES TO EVALUATORS

1. Name the community college that the candidate will be attending.	
2. Name the associate's degree program (e.g., Associate in Arts in Elementary Education; Associate in Science in Early Childhood Education; Associate in Applied Science SLPA). DO NOT USE THE ACRONYM.	Acronyms should not be used.
3. What is the credit-hour degree requirement? (An associate's degree requires 60–64 credit hours.)	Numbers 3 and 4 should agree with the college's website.
4. Website address that will show the required and general education course requirements for this degree program. For example, the web address for EMCC's AAS–SLPA program is http://www.maricopa.edu/academic/ccta/curric/ctaprog.php?loc=3776	
5. If the candidate is requesting a waiver to the policy to attend a community college before advancing to a four-year college/university, provide an explanation that identifies reasons for allowing this exception. (Narrative) NOTE: The policy waiver requires a thorough explanation. For example, one reason for requesting a waiver is that the candidate is already attending a four-year college/university and is close to completing 60 credit hours. If this is the case, the narrative must include the following: a. The name of the college/university b. The name of the bachelor's degree currently being pursued c. A list of course numbers, course names, and the number of credit hours completed to date (e.g., CIS 120, Intro to Computer Information Systems, 3 credits) d. Other information the candidate feels is relevant to the waiver request The evaluation team may request a copy of transcripts before making a decision to recommend the grant to the State Board of Education for approval.	If the request to waive the community college attendance requirement is justified and provides all information required in a–d is provided, mark the "Check" column in the Score Sheet. If the request to waive the community college attendance requirement is not justified or does not provide all required information, do not mark the "Check" column. Make comments in the "Comments/Action Required" column to explain your decision not to grant a waiver. NOTE: If Associate's Degree–SLPA was completed, Associate's Degree–Education will not show up in the grant.

Associate's Degree-SLPA

Associate's Degree-SLPA	
CRITERIA	NOTES TO EVALUATORS
1. Check the one of the following that applies to the candidate:	This page in the grant has a series of pre-determined checked responses made by the
■ EMCC	paraprofessional.
■ NAU	
 Other (type the college name in the appropriate cell) 	NOTE: If Associate's Degree–Education was completed, Associate's Degree–SLPA will not show
2. If you selected NAU, check one of the following:	up in the grant.
 I already have an associate's degree or a bachelor's degree; I do not require further general 	
coursework to qualify for SLPA licensure	
 I will be enrolling in a community college to complete the general education requirements 	
for SLPA licensure	
3. Will you enroll in an education-related or related service provider-bachelor's degree program	
after completing SLPA license requirements? (Respond "yes" or "no.")	